Is it possible to acquire rational religious belief on the basis of arguments that don’t seem convincing to, and don’t convince, anybody else? Dr. Keller argues that this is possible, and that its possibility doesn’t have anything in particular to do with religion, since argumentative success is relative in general: arguments are successful only relative to individuals, and so arguments can be successful for one person but not another. This is because the success of arguments depends on what we know, and different people know different things. Finally, Dr. Keller argues that his view is consistent with the teachings of the Catholic Church on the existence of “proofs” for the existence of God, and that we may need to reevaluate a number of theistic arguments in light of this conception of success.